

1 THE ORCHIDS OF “TORRES DEL PAINE” BIOSPHERE RESERVE: THE NEED  
2 FOR SPECIES MONITORING AND ECOTOURISM PLANNING FOR  
3 BIODIVERSITY CONSERVATION  
4

5 **LAS ORQUÍDEAS DE LA RESERVA DE LA BIOSFERA “TORRES DEL PAINE”:**  
6 **LA NECESIDAD DE IMPLEMENTAR MONITOREO DE ESPECIES Y**  
7 **PLANIFICACIÓN ECOTURÍSTICA PARA LA CONSERVACIÓN DE LA**  
8 **BIODIVERSIDAD**  
9

10 Osvaldo J. Vidal<sup>a\*</sup>, Cristina San Martín<sup>b</sup>, Sofía Mardones<sup>c</sup>, Viviana Bauk<sup>c</sup> & Claudio F.  
11 Vidal<sup>d</sup>  
12

13 a. Albert Ludwigs University, Faculty of Forest and Environmental Sciences, Institute of  
14 Silviculture, Vegetation Sciences and Site Classification, Freiburg, Germany.

15 b. Universidad Austral de Chile, Facultad de Ciencias Biológicas, Instituto de Botánica,  
16 Valdivia, Chile.

17 c. Asociación Medio Ambiental Torres del Paine, Puerto Natales, Chile.

18 d. Fantástico Sur Birding and Nature Tours, Punta Arenas, Chile.  
19

20 \* Corresponding author: <sup>a</sup> Faculty of Forest and Environmental Sciences, Institute of  
21 Silviculture, Tennenbacherstrasse 4, D-79106, Freiburg, Germany. Tel.: 49-0761-8627,  
22 osvaldo.vidal@me.com  
23

24 ABSTRACT

25 The orchid flora of Torres del Paine Biosphere Reserve (TPBR) is described based on  
26 botanical surveys, photographic records and herbarium collections carried out by the  
27 authors. This list comprises 3 genera and 9 species: *Chloraea chica*, *Chloraea leptopetala*,  
28 *Chloraea magellanica*, *Codonorchis lessonii*, *Gavilea araucana*, *Gavilea gladysiae*, *Gavilea*  
29 *littoralis*, *Gavilea lutea* and *Gavilea supralabellata*. *G. gladysiae* is a new record for this  
30 protected area and the first albino aberrant phenotype for flowers of *Chl. magellanica* is  
31 reported. A description of the species including key for determination, morphology, habitats,  
32 local abundances, flowering phenology and photographs is provided. The species richness  
33 here reported represents ca. 35% of orchids occurring in the Chilean temperate-austral  
34 floristic regions and 75% of the species described for the Magallanes Region, putting the

35 Torres del Paine Biosphere Reserve as one of the most representative protected areas for this  
 36 taxonomical group in the region. Based on our results we addressed the need of establishing  
 37 monitoring programs for orchids and promote them as flagship species in ecotourism planning  
 38 in order to enhance species valuation and encourage biodiversity conservation.

39 *Keywords.* *Chloraea*, *Codonorchis*, *Gavilea*, Protected areas, Patagonian flora, flagship  
 40 species.

#### 41 RESUMEN

42 Se describen las Orquídeas de la Reserva de la Biosfera Torres del Paine sobre la base de  
 43 exploraciones botánicas, registros fotográficos y colecciones de herbario llevadas a cabo por  
 44 los autores. Esta lista comprende 3 géneros y 9 especies: *Chloraea chica*, *Chloraea*  
 45 *leptopetala*, *Chloraea magellanica*, *Codonorchis lessonii*, *Gavilea araucana*, *Gavilea*  
 46 *gladysiae*, *Gavilea littoralis*, *Gavilea lutea* y *Gavilea supralabellata*. *G. gladysiae* es un  
 47 registro nuevo para esta área protegida y se reporta el primer fenotipo aberrante de flores  
 48 albinas de *Chl. magellanica*. Se provee de una descripción de las especies que incluye  
 49 morfología, habitats, abundancias locales, fenología de floración y fotografías. La riqueza de  
 50 especies aquí reportada representa cerca del 35% de las orquídeas que se distribuyen en las  
 51 regiones florísticas Templada-Austral y el 75% de las especies descritas para la Región de  
 52 Magallanes, colocando a la Reserva de la Biosfera Torres del Paine como una de las áreas  
 53 protegidas mas representativas para éste grupo taxonómico en Chile. Basados en nuestros  
 54 resultados recalcamos la necesidad de establecer programas de monitoreo para las Orquídeas  
 55 y promoverlas como especies emblemáticas en planificación ecoturística para así incrementar  
 56 la valoración de las especies y reforzar la conservacion de la biodiversidad.

57 *Palabras clave.* *Chloraea*, *Codonorchis*, *Gavilea*, áreas protegidas, flora patagonica, especies  
 58 emblemáticas.

#### 59 INTRODUCTION

60 Biodiversity conservation has become a major challenge for managers in protected areas in  
 61 Chile in the last years. Many of the protected areas show a massive increase in tourist  
 62 visitation, which is intensifying the disturbance pressure on native terrestrial landscapes,  
 63 ecosystems and species (Pauchard & Villarroel 2002, Navarro-Cerrillo et al. 2008).  
 64 Disturbances derived from touristic activities like soil trampling, road extension or accidental  
 65 fires are causing detrimental changes on native habitats that occasionally reduce the

66 abundances of native species, promotes biological invasions or alter successional pathways of  
67 native ecosystems (Dollenz & Ivanovic 1996, Vidal 2005, Domínguez et al. 2006, Vidal &  
68 Reif 2011). Consequently, not only the pristine biodiversity is irreversibly deteriorated, but  
69 also the quality of the recreational experience in the natural area depauperate.

70 Torres del Paine Biosphere Reserve is one of the most famous protected areas in Chile,  
71 because of the beautiful scenery, the ecosystem representativeness and the heterogeneity of its  
72 landscapes (Pisano 1974). This park has taken its name from the impressive granite mountain  
73 massif located at the center of the area which characterizes the entire park, but also a  
74 remarkable biodiversity can be observed. Landscape diversity includes glaciers, lakes, rivers,  
75 Andean desert (Pisano 1974). Ecosystems are also diverse including perennial and deciduous  
76 undisturbed old-forests, xerophyte and mesophytes shrublands, Patagonian steppe, Magellanic  
77 Tundra and also varied aquatic vegetation communities (Pisano 1974, Armesto et al. 1992,  
78 Clausen et al. 2006, Navarro-Cerrillo et al. 2008, Vidal & Reif 2011). Vascular plants is  
79 estimated over 450 species (Vidal 2007), and the fauna includes many mammals like  
80 guanacos, foxes, Patagonian huemul, wild cats and the mythic Puma (Garay & Guineo 2003).  
81 More than 130 bird species inhabit the park (Couve & Vidal 2007). Moreover it includes the  
82 highest raptor species richness reported in Chile (Jaksic et al. 2002). Thus, all these features  
83 have placed Torres del Paine National Park as one of the most reputed Chilean natural areas at  
84 an international level, achieving the UNESCO's Biosphere Reserve nomination in 1978. At  
85 present almost 150,000 tourists visit the area yearly and the visitors flow between 1990 and  
86 2010 increased by more than 600% (CONAF, unpublished data).

87 Although the increase in visits at the area has evident economic benefits for the local  
88 people and given international renown to the area, the impact of activities derived from  
89 tourism on biodiversity has been occasionally catastrophic. For example, during the last 30  
90 years the national forest service (CONAF) has reported 44 human-caused fires occurred in the  
91 protected area, which have affected around 47,000 ha, or ca. 19% of the park surface  
92 (CONAF, unpublished data). The last two fire events occurred in 2005 and 2011-12 and  
93 affected 32,000 ha in the park. It destroyed valuable habitats like deciduous forests (Navarro-  
94 Cerrillo et al. 2008, Vidal & Reif 2011) and shrublands dominated by *Junellia-tridens*, a  
95 southern-Patagonian endemic shrub. Thus, a vertiginous increase of ecotourism that hasn't  
96 gone hand in hand with planning and nature conservation, and from a biological point of  
97 view, long-term conservation goals seem to be hard to achieve (Pauchard & Villarroel 2002).



131 meteorological station located at the park administration (CONAF 2007), a drastic  
 132 environmental gradient in northwest-southeast direction can be distinguished from the colder  
 133 and humid areas near the Grey glacier to the warmer and dryer areas Sarmiento Lake.  
 134 Precipitation was estimated to vary from 1,400 mm to 350 mm per year and a concomitantly  
 135 gradual change in vegetation cover can be observed from perennial *Nothofagus betuloides*  
 136 forests to Patagonian steppe.

#### 137 FIELD SURVEY AND TAXONOMICAL DETERMINATIONS

138 The field survey was carried out in different plant communities belonging to each of the biotic  
 139 provinces defined by Pisano (1974). We surveyed orchids at each flowering season since  
 140 2003 until 2010 in all the terrestrial plant communities along the climatic gradient including  
 141 the ecotone between perennial and deciduous forests, deciduous forests like the “Lenga”  
 142 forests (*Violo-Nothofagion pumilionis*), “Nire” forests (*Agropyron-Nothofagion antarcticae*),  
 143 mesophytic shrublands like “Chaura” shrublands (*Embothrio-Pernettyetum mucronatae*),  
 144 xerophytic shrublands like “Neneo” shrublands (*Stipo-Mulinetum spinosi*), “Murta”  
 145 shrublands (*Empetro-Oreopoleium*) and the “Mata Negra” shrublands (*Berberido-Junieletum*  
 146 *tridentis*), xeric steppe like “Coirón” steppe (*Festucetum gracillimae*), humid steppes like  
 147 “vega” steppes (*Geranio-Festucetum gracillimae*), high-Andean vegetation like the “Turba”  
 148 tundra (*Donatenton fascicularis*), and also anthropic vegetation like prairies (*Poo-trifolietum*  
 149 *repentis*), road borders and burned forests (Pisano 1974, Roig et al. 1985, Clausen et al. 2006,  
 150 Vidal & Reif 2011). As the survey was not spatially and temporarily systematically designed,  
 151 a measure of commonness was used to describe the degree of orchid population abundance  
 152 using three criteria: “common” when > 10 populations has been seen during our survey;  
 153 “rare” when between 5 to 9 populations has been seen on survey; and “very rare” when < 4  
 154 populations has been seen on survey. Plant collections were made on voucher specimens, and  
 155 determinations were made using field guides (e.g. Novoa et al. 2006, Guerrero & Fernandez  
 156 2007, Vidal 2007) and confirmed by means of taxonomical literature (e.g. Correa 1956,  
 157 Moore 1983, Chemisquy 2009). In addition, photographic records were made and flowering  
 158 phenology data were recorded in the field. Voucher specimens were deposited at the  
 159 herbarium of the Universidad Austral in Valdivia (VALD). Nomenclature follows Lehnebach  
 160 (2003) and the “International Plant Names Index Project” (Croft et al 1999).

#### 161 RESULTS

162 In total 9 orchid species were recorded (Fig. 1):

163 Fig. 1

164 *Chloraea chica* Kraenzl. & Speg., *Chloraea leptopetala* M.N. Correa, *Chloraea magellanica*  
 165 Hook.f., *Codonorchis lessonii* (Brongn.) Lindl., *Gavilea araucana* (Phil.) M.N. Correa,  
 166 *Gavilea gladysiae* Chemisquy, *Gavilea littoralis* (Phil.) M.N. Correa, *Gavilea lutea* (Pers.)  
 167 M.N. Correa and *Gavilea supralabellata* M.N. Correa. These species are described in detail in  
 168 the following. Determination keys for the species are given in the Table 1.

169 Table 1

170 LIST OF SPECIES

171 *Chloraea chica*

172 *Morphology.* Terrestrial herb with stems 20-50 cm, erect. Basal leaves 6-15 x 2-3 cm in a  
 173 pseudo rosette, oblong-lanceolate, acute and tapering to wide. Cauline leaves narrower,  
 174 sheathing the scape. Inflorescence in a lax raceme containing 5-20 bracteate flowers. Bract 7-  
 175 9 x 2-4 mm, linear-lanceolate, acute, decreasing upwards. Flowers white or cream. Upper  
 176 outer perianth-segment 8-10 x 3-5 mm, oblong, cucullate and acuminate at apex. Lateral  
 177 outer perianth-segments lanceolate, acuminate, white with 3-4 longitudinal green veins.  
 178 Upper inner perianth-segments 7-8 x 3-4 mm, oblong, obtuse, papillose on veins in basal half.  
 179 Labellum 7-8 x 4-5 mm, entire to obscurely 3-lobed, obtuse, with irregularly lacerate margins,  
 180 covered by yellow or greenish papillae. Column 7 mm. Capsule ovoid-oblong. *Habitat and*  
 181 *commonness.* This species is common. According with Domínguez (2004), this species is  
 182 very rare and habits in wetlands locally termed as “Vegas” (Clausen et al 2006). These  
 183 habitats are dominated by species as *Samolus spatulatus* (Cav.) Duby (Domínguez 2004) and  
 184 *Euphrasia subexserta* Benth., and located at small valleys containing wet and organic soils at  
 185 the Patagonian steppe biotic province (*sensu* Pisano 1974). Although we found few  
 186 populations at this habitat, the species becomes very common at shrublands dominated by  
 187 *Gaultheria mucronata* (L.f.) Hook. et Arn. and *Empetrum rubrum* Vahl ex Willd. at the pre-  
 188 Andean shrublands biotic province (*sensu* Pisano 1974). *Localities.* Cerro Paine cattle ranch,  
 189 Amarga Lake to Sarmiento Lake trekking trail, De Los Cisnes Lake, De los Flamencos Lake,  
 190 Paso Feria, Pehoé-Italiano trekking trail. *Phenology.* January to February.

191 *Chloraea leptopetala*

192 *Morphology.* Terrestrial herb with stems 30-50 cm, robust stems 30-50 cm, erect. Basal leaves  
 193 in a pseudo rosette, oblong-lanceolate, acute, sheathing at base and tapering to wide.  
 194 Inflorescence in a dense raceme producing 20-35 bracteates flowers. Bracts 14-17 x 4-6 mm,  
 195 linear to lanceolate, acute, green with dark-green veins. Flowers green-white. Upper outer  
 196 perianth-segment 13-15 x 3-5 mm, oblong to oblong lanceolate, acute. Lateral outer perianth-  
 197 segments linear, acute. Upper inner perianth-segments linear to lanceolate, acute. Labellum  
 198 12-15 x 3-5 mm, entire to obscurely 3-lobed, obtuse, with undulate to involute margins,  
 199 covered by white-yellow papillae. Column 8 mm. Capsule ovoid-oblong. *Habitat and*  
 200 *commonness.* Populations of this species are very rare in woodlands dominated by  
 201 *Embothrium coccineum* and *Escallonia rubra* at the pre-Andean shrubland biotic province  
 202 (*sensu* Pisano 1974). *Localities.* Cerro Paine range, Las Carretas trekking trail. *Phenology.*  
 203 December.

204 *Chloraea magellanica*

205 *Morphology.* Terrestrial herb with stems 15-60 cm, erect. Basal leaves 10-20 x 2-5 cm in a  
 206 pseudo rosette, lanceolate, acute, sheathing at base and tapering to wide. Inflorescence in a lax  
 207 raceme containing 3-6 bracteate flowers. Bract 25-35 x 7-10 mm, ovate, acute decreasing  
 208 upwards, green with dark-green veins. Flowers white with green reticulate veins. Upper outer  
 209 perianth-segment 25-35 x 5-10 mm, oblong to oblong lanceolate, acute to subobtuse,  
 210 sometimes cuculate at apex, white with green reticulate veins. Lateral outer perianth-  
 211 segments lanceolate, acuminate at apex, fleshy, white at the basal half, greenish distally,  
 212 reticulated by dark green veins. Upper inner perianth-segments 20-25 x 8-12 mm ovate to  
 213 elliptic, acute, reticulate. Labellum 15-20 x 8-10, ovate, entire to obscurely 3-lobed, obtuse,  
 214 covered by greenish capitate papillae, fleshy at apex. Column 12-16 mm. Capsule cylindrical-  
 215 ovoid. A population with albino-flowers and whitish-green leaves it was found near to  
 216 Sarmiento Lake, in the Patagonian steppe dominated by *Festuca gracillima* Hook.f. and  
 217 *Mulinum spinosum* (Cav.) Pers. *Habitat.* The individuals are not completely albinos, but a  
 218 gradual lost in chlorophyll foliar content was observed (Fig. 2).

219 Figure 2

220 *Habitat and commonness.* Populations of this species are common and widely distributed in  
 221 the area, including the Patagonian steppe, pre-Andean shrublands, including those dominated  
 222 by *Gaultheria mucronata*, *Escallonia rubra* (Ruiz et Pavon) Pers. (*sensu* Pisano 1974), and  
 223 woodland communities dominated by *Nothofagus antarctica* (G.Forster) Oersted and forests

224 dominated by *Nothofagus pumilio* (Poepp. et Endl.) Krasser (Vidal & Reif 2011). *Localities*.  
 225 Cerro Paine cattle ranch, Cordón Masle, Paine Grande, Basin of Nordenkjoeld Lake, Salto  
 226 Grande trekking trail, Paso Feria, Asencio Valley, Pehoé-Grey trekking trail. *Phenology*.  
 227 January to March.

228 *Codonorchis lessonii*

229 *Morphology*. Terrestrial herb with stems 5-50 cm, decumbent to erect containing 1 or 2  
 230 cataphyll at the base of the stem. Leaves 20-25 x 20-25 mm, membranous, verticillate at the  
 231 stem half, ovate, entire, sub-acute to obtuse, cuneate at base, sessile. Bract 8-10 x 2-5 mm,  
 232 ovate, acute. Flowers solitary, terminal. Outer perianth-segments 8-20 x 6-8 mm, white,  
 233 lanceolate, acute. Lateral inner perianth-segments 6-15 x 3-10 mm, ovate-lanceolate, acute,  
 234 white, containing purplish spots. Labellum 5-15 x 3-10, ovate to lanceolate, acute, white and  
 235 covered by yellow capitate papillae, fleshy at apex. Column 10-13 cm. Capsule cylindrical-  
 236 ellipsoid. *Habitat and commonness*. Populations of this species are common inside the forests  
 237 dominated by *N. pumilio* in organic soils and closed canopy forests (Vidal & Reif 2011), and  
 238 also in the understory of forests dominated by *N. betuloides* (Domínguez 2004). *Localities*.  
 239 Cerro Paine, Cordón Masle forests, Pingo valley, Mount Ferrier. *Phenology*. December to  
 240 February.

241 *Gavilea araucana*

242 *Morphology*. Terrestrial herb with stems 50-70 cm, erect. Basal leaves 13-25 x 2-4 cm in a  
 243 pseudo rosette, linear-lanceolate, acute and tapering to wide. Cauline leaves narrower  
 244 sheathing the scape. Inflorescence in a lax raceme containing 15-20 bracteate flowers. Bract  
 245 13-15 x 3-5 mm, oval-lanceolate, acute. Upper outer perianth-segment 14-16 x 4-5 mm, oval-  
 246 lanceolate, acuminate, acute. Lateral outer perianth-segments 25-27 x 6-7 mm ovate-  
 247 lanceolate, aristate, white-greenish with green veins. Upper inner perianth-segments 12-14 x  
 248 5-7 mm, ovate to elliptic, papillose in veins in basal half. Labellum 8-10 x 9-11 mm, 3-lobed,  
 249 as long as or slightly longer than wide, subcordate. Lateral lobes orbicular to elliptic. Median  
 250 lobe 4-5 mm wide, triangular to trulliform with lacerate margins, aristate, covered by greenish  
 251 papillae. Column 5-7 mm. Capsule ovoid-oblong. *Habitat and commonness*. This species is  
 252 common. Although Domínguez (2004) mentions the presence of only 3 populations of this  
 253 species in the entire area, we found frequently populations in forest gaps produced by  
 254 landslides, which are a very common habitat at the mountain areas. In those areas low  
 255 shrubland vegetation is dominated by *Gaultheria mucronata* and *Empetrum rubrum*, at the



256 pre-Andean biotic province (*sensu* Pisano 1974). Some populations were also found in forest  
 257 gaps at relatively high elevation (ca 700 m) in Cerro Paine cattle ranch. *Localities*: Asencio  
 258 valley, Cerro Paine rangeland, Paso Feria. *Phenology*: November to January.

259 *Gavilea gladysiae*

260 *Morphology*. Terrestrial herb with stems 40-55 cm, erect. Leaves 14-21 x 2-3.5 cm in a  
 261 pseudo rosette, oblong to linear-lanceolate, fleshy, apex acute, tapering to wide. Inflorescence  
 262 in a dense raceme producing 10-17 flowers. Bracts 17-27 x 4-6 mm, acuminate. Flowers  
 263 greenish yellow. Upper outer perianth-segment 16-19 x 4-6 mm, oblong, acuminate, recurved,  
 264 membranaceous, nerved. Lateral outer perianth-segments 10-14 x 3-5 mm, acuminate,  
 265 membranaceous, nerved. Upper inner perianth-segments 9-12 x 3.5-5.5 mm, acuminate,  
 266 nerved, with green excrescences over the veins, covering basal third of the segment. Labellum  
 267 8-13 x 5-7 mm, apex cuspidate, margin repand, nerved. Column 6-7 mm. Capsule oblong-  
 268 ovate. *Habitat and commonness*. This species is very rare. We found populations of this  
 269 species in woodlands dominated by *Embotrium coccineum* and shrublands dominated by  
 270 *Gaultheria mucronata* and *Escallonia rubra* at the pre-Andean biotic province (*sensu* Pisano  
 271 1974). According with Chemisquy (2009), this species grows also into forests formed by  
 272 *Nothofagus* species, protected by the effect of wind. Although the habitat of this species is  
 273 widely distributed into the study area, *G. gladysiae* seems to be a very rare species, founding  
 274 only a few populations of this species. *Localities*: near refugio "Paine Grande" and along Las  
 275 Carretas trekking trail. *Phenology*: December.

276 *Gavilea littoralis*

277 *Morphology*. Terrestrial herb with stems 30-50 cm, erect. Leaves 10-20 x 3-4 cm in a pseudo  
 278 rosette, oblong lanceolate, subobtusate, tapering to wide. Inflorescence in a dense raceme  
 279 producing 10-18 flowers. Bracts 10-20 x 2-6 mm, lanceolate, acute. Flowers yellow with  
 280 green veins. Upper outer perianth-segments 10-14 x 4-6 mm oblong to lanceolate acuminate,  
 281 with green veins. Lateral outer perianth-segments 11-14 x 4-6 mm, oblong to lanceolate,  
 282 acuminate, with green veins. Upper inner perianth-segments 9-11 x 3-6 mm, oblong, obtuse,  
 283 covered by green excrescences over the veins. Labellum 8-9 x 10-11 mm 3-lobbed. Lateral  
 284 lobes oblong, obtuse, nerved, margin repand. Median lobe oblong, obtuse, sometimes retuse,  
 285 covered by yellow-orange papillae. Column 5-6 mm. Capsule ovoid. *Habitat and*  
 286 *commonness*. This species is rare. We found populations of this species in low-shrublands  
 287 dominated by *Gaultheria mucronata* (Domínguez 2004, Vidal 2007) at the pre-Andean biotic

288 province (*sensu* Pisano 1974). Localities: Pingo valley, Paso Feria, Ferrier Mount, Grey  
 289 trekking trail. *Phenology*: December.

290 *Gavilea lutea*

291 *Morphology*. Terrestrial herb with stems 20-60 cm, erect. Leaves 10-15 x 2-5 cm in a pseudo  
 292 rosette, ovate to oblong, subobtuse, tapering to wide. Inflorescence in a dense raceme  
 293 producing 10-18 flowers. Bracts 15-30 x 4-11 mm, lanceolate, acuminate. Flowers yellow  
 294 with green veins and papillae. Upper outer perianth-segments 11-14 x 3-6 mm oblong to  
 295 lanceolate, acute to acuminate, with green veins. Lateral outer perianth-segments 14-16 x 3-5  
 296 mm, yellow, lanceolate, caudiculate, green and fleshy at apex. Upper inner perianth-segments  
 297 11-14 x 2-6 mm, ovate, obtuse. Labellum 4-7 x 3-4 mm, 3-lobbed. Lateral lobes oblong,  
 298 obtuse, nerved, margin repand, without papillae. Median lobe oblong to triangular, obtuse,  
 299 covered by yellow papillae. Column 3-5 mm. Capsule ovoid-oblong. *Habitat and*  
 300 *commonness*. This species is common. We found populations of this species in low-  
 301 shrublands dominated by *Gaultheria mucronata* (Domínguez 2004, Vidal 2007) at the pre-  
 302 Andean biotic province (*sensu* Pisano 1974) and inside *Nothofagus pumilio* forests (Vidal &  
 303 Reif 2011) at the Deciduous Magellanic forest biotic province (*sensu* Pisano 1974).  
 304 Localities: Pingo valley, Paso Feria, Verde Lake, Ascencio Valley, French Valley. *Phenology*:  
 305 December to February.

306 *Gavilea supralabellata*

307 *Morphology*. Terrestrial herb with stems 20-30 cm, erect. Leaves 5-8 x 1-2 cm, lanceolate,  
 308 acute. Inflorescence in a dense raceme producing 10-15 flowers. Bracts 11-16 x 4-8 mm,  
 309 lanceolate, acuminate. Flowers yellow with green veins and papillae. Upper outer perianth-  
 310 segments 15-18 x 3-5 mm, lanceolate, acute, with green veins. Lateral outer perianth-  
 311 segments 15-20 x 3-5 mm, yellow, lanceolate, caudiculate, green and fleshy at apex. Upper  
 312 inner perianth-segments 12-14 x 3-5 mm, lanceolate, acute. Labellum 9-10 x 4-5 mm, entire  
 313 or obscurely 3-lobbed, obtuse, fleshy at apex, densely covered by stitipate green papillae.  
 314 Column 4-6 mm. Capsule ovoid-oblong. *Habitat*. This species is common. We found  
 315 populations of this species in low-shrublands dominated by *Gaultheria mucronata*  
 316 (Domínguez 2004, Vidal 2007) at the pre-Andean biotic province (*sensu* Pisano 1974) and  
 317 inside *Nothofagus pumilio* forests (Vidal & Reif 2011) at the Deciduous Magellanic forest  
 318 biotic province (*sensu* Pisano 1974). Localities: Pingo valley. *Phenology*: December to  
 319 February.

320

## DISCUSSION

321 The richness of the orchids reported here adds one new species for the Torres del Paine  
322 Biosphere Reserve and the first aberrant albino-flowers individually found *in situ* for  
323 *Chloraea magellanica*. These findings put this area as one of the most diverse for this  
324 taxonomical group, as it contains about 35% of the orchids described for the Chilean  
325 Temperate-Austral floristic regions (*sensu* Bannister et al. 2012) and 75% of the orchids  
326 recorded in the Magallanes Region (Henríquez et al. 1995, Domínguez 2004, Novoa et al.  
327 2006). The high proportion of orchids occurring in Torres del Paine Biosphere Reserve  
328 address two issues which would be able to implement here for conservation purposes: (1)  
329 first, the need of develop species taxonomic inventories for biodiversity monitoring (Noss  
330 1990, Cameron 2010) and (2) the potential of ecotourism planning to increase biodiversity  
331 valuation.

332 (1) Taxonomic inventories for biodiversity monitoring. Because of the heterogeneity of  
333 the landscapes and habitats occurring along the environmental gradient in Torres del Paine  
334 Biosphere Reserve, a taxonomical inventory on orchid species would be useful for mapping  
335 the geographical range throughout metapopulations. Special research is necessary to select  
336 species as indicators for a determined kind of environmental performance (Noss 1990). For  
337 example, *Codonorchis lessonii* was found only inside the forests below the canopy, indicating  
338 its intolerance to high levels of light, or in other words, it is a good indicator for undisturbed  
339 old growth forests (Vidal et al. 2011). In a similar sense, some orchids are recognized to be  
340 highly sensitive with regard to plant-pollination and plant mycorrhiza interactions (Lehnebach  
341 & Riveros 2003). Thus they indicate the presence of other organisms (e.g. insects and fungi)  
342 and functional diversity (e.g. breeding systems) which are harder and more expensive to  
343 monitor. Plants are easy to record because of their restricted vagility and conspicuousness. A  
344 well-trained observer can therefore monitor the continuity of the populations initially  
345 recorded or add further recordings. Unfortunately, until now management plans in Torres del  
346 Paine have not included local information of plant population distributions. Even the plant or  
347 animal species lists are incomplete and outdated (CONAF 2007). Taxonomic inventories on  
348 orchid plant populations could be easily realized including information related to habitat  
349 structure, composition, topography, geographical location, human and natural disturbances  
350 and phenological stages. All this information can be helpful not only for establishing  
351 biodiversity indicators related to orchids distribution but also for predictive distribution  
352 mapping for places where they are harder to monitor (Noss 1990, Salem 2003, Remm &

353 Remm 2009), as well as the response of such populations to the deleterious effects of human  
354 disturbances or climatic change.

355 (2) Ecotourism planning to increase biodiversity valuation. In TPBR an explosive  
356 increase of tourists has been experienced during the last 2 decades, as a consequence of the  
357 beauty of the landscapes and ecosystem representativeness. Concomitantly, a growing hotel  
358 infrastructure and services including trekking, ridings and nature tours give the change to  
359 explore the area. In 2010 about 150.000 tourists visited the area, but little information on  
360 plants and vegetation landscape is available for tourists interested on “botanizing” or  
361 exploring the vascular flora of the area (e.g. Guerrero & Fernandez 2007, Vidal 2007). This  
362 lack of knowledge not only makes it difficult to value the biodiversity, but also pauperize  
363 the tourist experience on a place having a not yet well explored potential. To implement  
364 touristic routs, to see orchids as flagship species would be a useful issue in order to increase  
365 the valuation of biodiversity. Flagship species are recognized as charismatic species in  
366 protected areas that, as popular symbols, increase the public conscience for biodiversity  
367 conservation (Walpole & Leader-Williams 2002, Arango et al. 2007) and economic value  
368 (Cameron 2010). Although plants are rare examples as flagship species, orchids seems to  
369 have all properties for that: they have an important ecological role in nature, they are  
370 ecological indicators, they are beautiful, they are sensible to influences by man and they are  
371 available during a great amount of time during the tourist season, because of its longer  
372 flowering periods. Planning touristic trails to see orchids and establishing them as flagship  
373 species, would be an initial idea to increase the valuation of biodiversity in Torres del Paine.  
374 Tourist guides can support important information for managers related to the location of new  
375 populations, phenological periods and habitat features because they travel continuously on  
376 remote areas of the park and can detect easily changes affecting populations. But a planning is  
377 needed, to instruct the guides to identify orchid species, as well as to avoid the tourist  
378 temptation to collect them as souvenirs. Tourist guides can acts as administrators of the park  
379 while they are guiding tourist groups.

380 Despite ecotourism always represent a potential threat for pristine areas, it would have an  
381 important role to play on biodiversity conservation. For example, the establishment of  
382 flagship species for conservation purposes has been perceived as an ecological sustainable  
383 initiative (Krüger 2005). It promotes public awareness for the protection of species, including  
384 raising funds for related taxonomic, ecological or restoration research. Reciprocally, tourism  
385 agencies would increase the quality of the product by training well-informed field guides,

386 giving the tourist scientific-based information. Although the number of orchid species in  
 387 Torres del Paine is certainly not impressive, their relatively narrow distributional range to the  
 388 temperate-austral Chilean floristic regions, supports the idea that they are important for  
 389 conservation issues including its promotion as flagship species. Torres del Paine contains now  
 390 an unexplored potential for the conservation and valuation of its natural patrimony, but first  
 391 basic information related to nature history and ecology of the species is needed, to select  
 392 flagship species features and promote public conscience for species conservation:  
 393 paraphrasing the environmentalist Baba Dioum, “we cannot protect what we do not know”.

394

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

395 This study was partially supported by the “Agrupación Medio Ambiental Torres del Paine”  
 396 and “Fondo de Protección Ambiental” (Chilean Ministry of Environment). Thanks to the  
 397 excursion guides of the “Hotel Las Torres” for the field help. CONAF gave the collect  
 398 permissions. Thanks to Dr. Dr. h. c. Juergen Huss (Freiburg University) and Dr. Winfried  
 399 Meier for suggestions to earlier version of the manuscript. The first author thanks the  
 400 “Comisión Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología de Chile” (CONICYT) and the “Deutscher  
 401 Akademischer Austausch Dienst” (DAAD) for financing his Ph.D. studies at Freiburg  
 402 University.

403

#### LITERATURE

404 ALTENBERGER, U., OBERHANSLI, R., PUTLITZ, B. & K. WEMMER. 2003. Tectonic controls and  
 405 Cenozoic magmatism at Torres del Paine, southern Andes (Chile, 51 degrees 10 ‘S). *Revista*  
 406 *Geológica de Chile* 30: 65-81.

407 AMIGO, J. & C. RAMÍREZ. 1998. A bioclimatic classification of Chile: woodland communities  
 408 in the temperate zone. *Plant Ecology* 136: 9-26.

409 ARANGO, X., ROZZI, R., MASSARDO, F., ANDERSON, CB. & T. IBARRA. 2007. Descubrimiento  
 410 e implementación del pájaro carpintero gigante (*Campephilus magellanicus*) como especie  
 411 carismática: una aproximación biocultural para la conservación en la Reserva de la Biosfera  
 412 Cabo de Hornos. *Magallania* 35: 71-88.

413 ARMESTO, J.J., CASASSA, I. & O. DOLLENZ. 1992. Age structure and dynamics of Patagonian  
 414 Beech forests in Torres del Paine National Park, Chile. *Vegetatio* 98: 13-22.

- 415 ARROYO, M.T.K., VON BOHLEN, C.P., CAVIERES, L. & C. MARTICORENA. 1992. Survey of the  
416 alpine flora of Torres del Paine national park. *Gayana Botánica* 49: 47-70.
- 417 BANNISTER, J.R., VIDAL, O.J., TENEB, E. & V. SANDOVAL. 2012. Latitudinal patterns and  
418 regionalization of plant diversity along a 4,270 km gradient in continental Chile. *Austral*  
419 *Ecology* (in press).
- 420 CAMERON, K.M. 2010. On the value of taxonomy, phylogeny and systematics to orchid  
421 conservation: implications for China's Yachang orchid reserve. *Botanical Review* 76: 165-  
422 173.
- 423 CHEMISQUY, A. 2009. *Gavilea gladysiae* (Chloraeae: Orchidaceae), a new orchid from  
424 southern Argentina and Chile. *Brittonia* 61: 201-205.
- 425 CLAUSEN, J.C., ORTEGA, I.M., GLAUDE, C.M., RELYEA, R.A., GARAY, G. & O. GUINEO. 2006.  
426 Classification of wetlands in a Patagonian national park, Chile. *Wetlands* 26: 217-229.
- 427 CONAF. 2007. Plan de manejo Parque Nacional Torres del Paine. 285 pp.
- 428 CORREA, M.N. 1956. Las especies Argentinas del género *Gavilea*. *Boletín de la Sociedad*  
429 *Argentina de Botánica* 6: 73-86.
- 430 COUVE, E. & C. VIDAL. 2007. Birds Torres del Paine field guide (second edition). Fantástico  
431 Sur, Punta Arenas, Chile. 270 pp.
- 432 CROFT, J., CROSS, N., HINCHCLIFFE, S., NIC LUGHADHA, E., STEVENS, P.F., WEST, J.G. & G.  
433 WHITBREAD. 1999. Plant names for the 21<sup>st</sup> century: the International Plant Names Index, a  
434 distributed data source of general accessibility. *Taxon* 48: 317-324.
- 435 DOLLENZ, O. & J.S. IVANOVIC. 1996. Secondary succession in a burned grassland in the  
436 National Park Torres del Paine, Magallanes, Chile. *Anales del Instituto de la Patagonia* 24:  
437 15-28.
- 438 DOMÍNGUEZ, E. 2004. Catálogo preliminar de la familia Orchidaceae del Parque Nacional  
439 Torres del Paine, XII Región, Chile. *Chloris Chilensis* 7: [www.chlorischile.cl](http://www.chlorischile.cl)
- 440 DOMÍNGUEZ, E. 2006. *Chloraea leptopetala* (Orchidaceae), una adición para la flora de la  
441 Región de Magallanes, Chile. *Chloris Chilensis*, 9: [www.chlorischile.cl](http://www.chlorischile.cl)
- 442 DOMÍNGUEZ, E., ELVEBAKK, A., MARTICORENA C., & A. PAUCHARD. 2006. Alien plants in  
443 Torres del Paine National Park, Chile. *Gayana Botánica* 63: 131-141.

- 444 FRANKLIN, W.L., JOHNSON, W.E., SARNO, R.J. & J.A. IRIARTE. 1999. Ecology of the Patagonia  
445 puma *Felis concolor patagonica* in southern Chile. *Biological Conservation* 90: 33-40.
- 446 GARAY, G., JOHNSON, W.E. & W.L. FRANKLIN. 1991. Relative abundance of aquatic birds and  
447 their use of wetlands in the Patagonia of southern Chile. *Revista Chilena de Historia Natural*  
448 64: 127-137.
- 449 GARAY, G. & O. GUINEO. 2003. Fauna, flora y montaña de Torres del Paine. Amigos de la  
450 vida Silvestre, Punta Arenas, Chile. 310 pp.
- 451 GUERRIDO, C. & D. FERNANDEZ. 2007. Flora Patagonia, southern forests. Fantástico Sur,  
452 Punta Arenas, Chile. 289 pp.
- 453 HENRÍQUEZ, J.M., PISANO, E. & C. MARTICORENA. 1995. Catálogo de la flora vascular de  
454 Magallanes, Chile. *Anales del Instituto de la Patagonia* 23: 5-30.
- 455 JAKSIC, F.M., IRIARTE, J.A. & J.E. JIMÉNEZ. 2002. The raptors of Torres del Paine National  
456 Park, Chile: biodiversity and conservation. *Revista Chilena de Historia Natural* 75: 449-461.
- 457 JOHNSON, W.E. & W.L. FRANKLIN. 1994. Role of the body size in the diets of sympatric gray  
458 and culpeo foxes. *Journal of Mammalogy* 75: 163-174.
- 459 KRÜGER, O. 2005. The role of ecotourism in conservation: panacea or Pandora's box?  
460 *Biodiversity and Conservation* 14: 579-600.
- 461 LEHNEBACH, C.A. 2003. Preliminary checklist of the orchids of Chile. *Botanical Journal of*  
462 *the Linnean Society* 143: 449-451.
- 463 LEHNEBACH, C.A. & M. RIVEROS. 2003. Pollination biology of the Chilean endemic orchid  
464 *Chloraea lamellata*. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 12: 1741-1751.
- 465 MOORE, D.M. 1983. Flora of Tierra del Fuego. Missouri Botanical Garden, USA. 395 pp.
- 466 NAVARRO-CERRILLO R., HAYAS A., GARCÍA-FERRER A., HERNÁNDEZ-CLEMENTE R., DUALDE  
467 P. & L. GONZÁLEZ. 2008. Caracterización de la situación posincendio en el área afectada por  
468 el incendio de 2005 en el Parque Nacional Torres del Paine (Chile), a partir de imágenes  
469 multiespectrales. *Revista Chilena de Historia Natural* 81: 95-110.
- 470 NOSS, R.F. 1990. Indicators for monitoring biodiversity: a hierarchical approach.  
471 *Conservation Biology* 4: 355-364.
- 472 NOVOA, P., ESPEJO, J., CISTERNAS, M., RUBIO, M. & E. DOMÍNGUEZ. 2006. Guía de Campo de  
473 las Orquídeas Chilenas. Concepción, Corporación Chilena de la Madera, Chile. 120 pp.

- 474 ORTEGA, I.M. & W.L. FRANKLIN. 1988. Feeding habitat utilization and preference by guanaco  
475 male groups in the Chilean Patagonia. *Revista Chilena de Historia Natural* 61: 209-216.
- 476 PAUCHARD, A. & P. VILLARROEL. 2002. Protected areas in Chile: history, current status and  
477 challenges. *Natural Areas Journal* 22: 318-330.
- 478 PILUEK, C. & P. TRIBOUN. 2008. Wild orchids conservation for ecotourism in Thailand.  
479 Proceedings of the international workshop on ornamental plants 788: 69-76.
- 480 PISANO, E. 1974. Estudio ecológico de la región continental sur del área andino patagónica. II.  
481 Contribución a la fitogeografía de la zona del parque nacional Torres del Paine. *Anales del*  
482 *Instituto de la Patagonia* 5: 59-104.
- 483 REMM, K. & L. REMM. 2009. Similarity-based large scale distribution mapping of orchids.  
484 *Biodiversity and Conservation* 18: 1629-1647.
- 485 RIVAS-MARTÍNEZ, S. 2005. Worldwide bioclimatic classification system. Phytosociological  
486 Research Center. Available in:  
487 [http://www.globalbioclimatics.org/book/bioc/global\\_bioclimatics\\_2.htm](http://www.globalbioclimatics.org/book/bioc/global_bioclimatics_2.htm).
- 488 ROIG, F.A., ANCHORENA, J., DOLLENZ, O., FAGGI, A.M. & E. MÉNDEZ. 1985. Las  
489 comunidades vegetales de la Transecta Botánica de la Patagonia Austral. In: Boelcke O,  
490 Moore DM and FA Roig (Eds) Transecta Botánica de la Patagonia Austral. 350-518.
- 491 SARNO, R.J., FRANKLIN, W.L. & W.S. PREXL. 2000. Activity and population characteristics of  
492 Andean Condors in southern Chile. *Revista Chilena de Historia Natural* 73: 3-8.
- 493 SALEM, B.B. 2003. Application of GIS to biodiversity monitoring. *Journal of arid*  
494 *environments* 54: 91-114.
- 495 VIDAL, O.J. 2005. Flora exótica adyacente a senderos remotos en el parque nacional Torres  
496 del Paine (Magallanes, Chile). Tesis de Licenciatura en Ciencias Biológicas. Valdivia, Chile.  
497 Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad Austral de Chile. 135 p.
- 498 VIDAL, O.J. 2007. Flora Torres del Paine field guide (second edition). Punta Arenas, Chile.  
499 Fantástico Sur. 348 pp.
- 500 VIDAL, O.J & A. REIF. 2011. Effect of a tourist-ignited wildfire on *Nothofagus pumilio* forests  
501 at Torres del Paine biosphere reserve, Chile (Southern Patagonia). *Bosque* 32: 64-76.
- 502 VIDAL, O.J, BANNISTER, J.R., SANDOVAL, V., PÉREZ, Y. & C. RAMÍREZ. 2011. Woodland  
503 communities in the Chilean Cold Temperate Zone (Baker and Pascua Basins): floristic  
504 composition and morpho-ecological transition. *Gayana Botánica* 68: 141-154.



- 505 WALPOLE, M.J. & N. LEADER-WILLIAMS. 2002. Tourism and flagship in conservation.  
506 *Biodiversity and Conservation* 11: 543-547.